April 7th/1970

Dear Sir.

It is difficult to understand why we should only be asked to comment on the widening of Castle Street after the proposed scheme has been accepted by the County Council.

Considering the shortness of the Tourist season the expense involved seems out of all proportion to the traffic and the result to the appearance of Castle Street deplorable.

The loss of trees at the entrance to Castle St would be a very great miss. Everyone I know admires the green archway they make. What causes obstruction now is that cars are allowed to park on both sides of the part that has already been widened, instead of parking on alternate days on one side only.

We thought that Dornoch was a Conservation area but I understand that the application has never been forwarded to the authority though four months have elapsed since it was unanimously agreed on at the public meeting.

To pull down the shop of J & S Grant and force the owner to shift would involve for him in great expense and probable loss even if another shop was built for him.

Yours faithfully

t. J. Lyon

RECEIVED 1 8 MAR 1070

The Deanery, DORNOCH, Sutherland, 18th March, 1970.

The Royal Burgh of Dornoch Town Council. Town Clerk's Office, DORNOCH.

Dear Sirs,

We, the undersigned, hereby as individuals, and as a body, object most strongly to Sutherland County Council's reconstruction plan for Castle Street.

Accordingly, we demand a Public Meeting of the Electorate to be held before any further discussion takes place between the Town Council and The County Council.

Yours faithfully,

Jona du, Elmbah, Donnoch.

Gett. mackey is. Premo Cosel.

Dorocky I, hadrelie

N. Macdonald Elmbank.

1 M. Mackey W. Demery.

1 E. Muno Gorderroch

1 S. addon This Deanery.

1 Grant Joseph Carthell

Serva G. Evant ""

1 W. S. Rove. Roseberg are, Domock.

1 S. Mackey Salon M.-K.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF SUTHERLAND

J.B.Rodger, M.A.LL.B., Yr. ref. County Clerk.

Our ref.RM/EM

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE COLSPIE SUTHERL AND.

Telephone Nos 392 & 393

18th February, 1970.

J. Nolan, Esq., Town Clerk, Council Chabbers, DORNOCH.

Kerdard to display Oranin of Schone and muste comment

Dear Sir.

Dornoch War Memorial-Castle Street Widening

At a recent meeting the Roads Committee of the Council had under consideration a plan showing a scheme of improvement to Castle Street Dornoch prepared by the County Surveyor. The Committee agreed to recommend acceptance in principle of this plan and to advise the County Council to remit the matter in the first instance to the Town Council. This recommendation will come-before a meeting of the County Council to be held on 23rd February and I will write you immediately after that meeting.

In the meantime I enclose copy of Drawing No. 116/1 showing the extent of the scheme and one copy of the County Surveyor's report on this natter.

> I am. Yours faithfully.

(Signed) J.B. Rodger, County Clerk.

DORNOCH WAR MEMORIAL - CASTLE STREET WIDENING TECHNICAL REPORT

Introduction

Traffic conditions in Castle Street have noticeably deteriorated during recent summer months and it is the object of this scheme to improve conditions in Castle Street to an acceptable standard. The scheme fits into the general pattern of improvement to the Dornoch/Evelix route which have been undertaken in recent years and ties into the proposed improvement from Dornoch Academy to the War Memorial which is included in the 1971-72 programme.

Need for Improvement

Castle Street forms a part of the main route linking Dornoch with the trunk road A9, and the general carriageway width of 20 feet makes it inadequate for the type of traffic this road now carries, particularly as there is no passibility of restricting frontage access which necessitates some provision being made for on street parking. Traffic conditions are at their worst during the summer months when serious congestion is caused by the high volume of tourist traffic in Dornoch, a relatively high proportion consisting of touring caravans.

The ideal and in this case practical solution to this problem would be to widen the carriageway to 30 feet through most of its length. If this is not carried out shortly it is likely that parking restrictions would have to be imposed during the summer months until action is taken to improve the situation permanently.

Extent of Scheme

It is intended to reconstruct the whole section from the War Memorial to the Square providing footpaths with a minimum width of 6 feet on each side of the

width of 30 feet, as was the section recently widened.

The Poles Road junction and Sutherland Road junction will both be improved to a more satisfactory standard, thereby raising the level of safety for both vehicles and pedestrians in the area of each.

Allowance is also made for improving the main access to High street and Dornoch Burgh Council may wish to take advantage of this opportunity to rationalise the traffic flow from this area and pedestrianise the areas immediately in front of the Cathedral and perhaps also the present access adjacent to J. & S. Grant's shop.

Interference with Property and Apprilty

The extent of interference with property is generally confined to the acquisition of a 6 feet deep strip of garden area from those affected, but it is unavoidable that part, if not all of J.& S. Grant's shop in Castle Street will have to be demolished.

Amenity will be preserved so far as is possible by rebuilding boundary walls on the new line similar to those already existing and it is proposed to construct the footpath in front of the Cathedral behind the existing row of trees in order to avoid having to remove them. A number of trees on the north side of the road between the War Memorial and Sutherland Road will have to be removed in order to accommodate the road widening.

The Your Clark RECEIVED 2 8 APR 1970 3.20 pm Dear Die We the undersqued wish to have a hubbis meeting called for Irlanday 4 May 1970 to hear from the retiring coursellors of their Attendedup on the Javon Caunail a also from landidalis who row nominalist for Aletron en Tuesday 5 muy 1970. Delurraif & Camilell. \$ 2 am ald A.d. King Dhe premner. 1 Kn aakay Jean S.C. Mackay R. Markey Clevid Fennedo

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, STRONGLY OBJECT TO SUTHERLAND COUNTY COUNCIL'S DEVELOPMENT PLAN REGARDING CASTLE STREET, DORNOCH AND DEMAND THAT DORNOCH TOWN COUNCIL CALL A PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS THE ENTIRE PLAN AND HEAR OBJECTORS:-

Jennis Otherse RECEIVED 3 1 MAR 1970 I An no kay It Gillert Street mis Banks I voigt W. Wahan I dation terrace John marky Skatur Rd Downers Dona Wratterson & Station Jerrace Domod George Machay 1 North Street Dornoch Frene Davidson 3 yelchoust Squage Mr Stelen Mebrill & Gilowish Square 6 blue Lead hallow House Honock esk machay masone Building an array Church of El workery The dance Domock M. M. Wacdonald with Dearg Downsch x Sancet, Lectars Costle It Rout L. machenge, frances love and lite Donnich Ester Macken . Alliside Dornoch wow dehan High ST Donne & Markon I Gelchard & Square Dormet & Donnis 1 High St Donnel E. 11/ emes, Im all on Bishoppelol Ar M. 7. 18 Rerray Balloan Dornoch

mrs Isabel, Sutherland Balloan farm Dorners Mrs Hornee Patterson 3 Castle Street Donock Mrs Mackay basile Street A. I Wayers Wallace James Domochy E. M. Wactord Ichard Will Formed.

* K. J. Syon 12 a Casto Stret

b. J. Righam I macDonald Ra Dornoch E Mackenton Angeple treet Doonsch John Duff Courty Buldings Noorock Jalangaret al. Grant, The Eleus, Dornock (Cotherme In Grant The Elins, Norwen * But mackey Jest na Juva Cantte Il x Rose Watkeson, Tordanoch, Domoch (f Matheson, Tordaroch, Domoch. Jean appleton Bhurch Street, Planoch-John Muckey Ligh na Twan Doone ch Yotal 52

Note of Names on See	end fatelens	
Mr Mardenald	Elin Bunk ber	the St.
Mrs Marderald	· · · · ·	M
mr mackingil	Dean bourt	M
Mrs Mackengie	in the	
Mr. Addison	The Decemberry	ы
Mrs. Addlesin		
My 9.9. Maclean		ų
		1-1
Mrs 9. g. Maclean Mr. Greent		-
Mrs P. Grant	•	u
Mrs M. Mackay	West Deanty	1.0
Mrs & Musero	7 trelarboch	M
mr. H.S. Rowe	Rosebery Chense	· ·
W.S. Mackay	mi-Ki	61
nd 11. 1-21 - 1 17	(= 1.7/1 = 10 -1 x/ 13	· ·
No. affected by school 13	5 fuilding = 10 of the 13	requesture
No. affected by scheme 13	5 building = 10 of the 13	requesture
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 buildings = 10 of the 13	regnesters
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 buildings = 10 of the 13	regnuture
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 building = 10 of the 13	regnesters
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 buildings = 10 of the 13	regnesters
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 buildings = 10 of the 13	requesture
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 buildings = 10 of the 13	regnesters
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 buildings = 10 of the 13	requesture
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 buildings = 10 of the 13	requesture
No. affected by scheme 13	(5 buildings = 10 of the 13	requesture
No. affected by scheme 13	5 building = 10 of the 13	requesture
No. affected by scheme 13	5 building = 10 of the 13	requestors
No. affected by scheme 13	5 building = 10 of the 13	requestors

+ 52 total 66